+ DDT

30 November 1953





Thank you for your thoughtful letter of the 25th. I have passed it on to our economists who I know will be very interested in your

As you know, I am not an economist and so connot personally Youch for the sathodology used but I have done considerable cross examining of our people and our rather substantial group of highly regarded academic consultante all of whom feel that our data as to the recent bpasts is thoroughly reliable. As to the future, of course judgment and hunch play a terrific rele; so I should not have conveyed an impression of certainty as to grack, over the next few decades. In between - our projections for the next 3 to h years could hardly be far enough off to effect our basic thosis. We are now the less withholding judgment until the expected 153 figures are amounted next February at which time we will take a completely now look.

You are certainly correct about the effect of an American depression on the relative economic size of the U.S. and the U.S.S.R. Usually I take pains to point out that a recossion of comparable portions to that of 1938 would reduce American heavy industry to the level of Aussia s.

As to our comparison of American Ph.D. 's with Soviet Doktors and Kandidates, we, too, have been troubled but after painstaking study our Office of Scientific Intelligence concluded that the median American Master's degree required substantially less hours of postgraduate work and, therefore, excluded them from our comparative table. I should have indicated this factor in discussing tables.

Do remember to drop in on me whomever you come to Washington as I enjoy greatly opportunities to exchange ideas with you. Apropos there I hope you and are patting your heads together on 25X1A5a1 the recent Soviet military promotions, because all possible telents must be used in trying to reach conclusions as to the role the military is now playing in the Soviet.